

## Product Description SALSA® MLPA® Probemix P492-A1 POLD1 - POLE

To be used with the MLPA General Protocol.

#### Version A1

For complete product history see page 7.

#### Catalogue numbers:

- P492-025R: SALSA MLPA Probemix P492 POLD1 POLE, 25 reactions.
- P492-050R: SALSA MLPA Probemix P492 POLD1 POLE, 50 reactions.
- P492-100R: SALSA MLPA Probemix P492 POLD1 POLE, 100 reactions.

To be used in combination with a SALSA MLPA reagent kit and Coffalyser.Net data analysis software. MLPA reagent kits are either provided with FAM or Cy5.0 dye-labelled PCR primer, suitable for Applied Biosystems and Beckman/SCIEX capillary sequencers, respectively (see www.mrcholland.com).

#### Certificate of Analysis

Information regarding storage conditions, quality tests, and a sample electropherogram from the current sales lot is available at www.mrcholland.com.

#### Precautions and warnings

For professional use only. Always consult the most recent product description AND the MLPA General Protocol before use: www.mrcholland.com. It is the responsibility of the user to be aware of the latest scientific knowledge of the application before drawing any conclusions from findings generated with this product.

#### **General information**

The SALSA MLPA Probemix P492 POLD1 - POLE is a **research use only (RUO)** assay for the detection of deletions or duplications in the *POLD1* and *POLE* genes, which are associated with susceptibility to colorectal cancer. This probemix can also be used to specifically detect the wild-type sequence of the *POLE* c.1270C>G (p.Leu424Val) point mutation.

Susceptibility to colorectal cancer-10 (OMIM #612591) and susceptibility to colorectal cancer-12 (OMIM #615083) are autosomal dominant disorders conferred by mutations in the *POLD1* and *POLE* genes, respectively. Patients show a predisposition to colorectal cancer, with onset at adult age but usually before the age of 40. Brain tumours and endometrial cancer have also been found in *POLD1* and *POLE* mutation carriers. The human *POLD1* and *POLE* genes encode subunits of the polymerase delta (POL $\delta$ ) and polymerase epsilon (POL $\epsilon$ ) enzyme complexes, respectively. POL $\epsilon$  is responsible for synthesis of the leading strand during DNA replication and POL $\delta$  is its equivalent lagging strand polymerase. Besides synthesis, these enzyme complexes also have proof-reading capacity via mismatch and base excision repair pathways. Mutations in *POLD1* and *POLE* have been suggested to cause tumorigenesis due to decreased fidelity of polymerase proof-reading, leading to an increased mutation rate (Palles et al. 2013). The *POLE* c.1270C>G (p.Leu424Val) point mutation affects a highly conserved residue in the *POLE* proofreading domain and has been identified in different families with early-onset colorectal cancer (PMIDs 23447401, 24509466, 24501277, 25529843, 25124163 and 25370038).

The *POLD1* gene (27 exons) spans ~34 kb of genomic DNA and is located on 19q13.33, about 56 Mb from the p-telomere. The *POLE* gene (49 exons) spans ~64 kb of genomic DNA and is located on 12q24.33, about 132 Mb from the p-telomere (close to the q-telomere).

# This SALSA MLPA probemix is not CE/FDA registered for use in diagnostic procedures. Purchase of this product includes a limited license for research purposes.

#### Gene structure and transcript variants:

Entrez Gene shows transcript variants of each gene: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?db=gene



For NM\_ mRNA reference sequences: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?db=nucleotide Locus Reference Genomic (LRG) database: http://www.lrg-sequence.org/

#### Exon numbering

The *POLD1* exon numbering used in this P492-A1 POLD1 - POLE product description is the exon numbering from the LRG\_785 sequence. The *POLE* exon numbering used in this P492-A1 POLD1 - POLE product description is the exon numbering from the LRG\_789 sequence. The exon numbering of the NM\_ sequence that was used for determining a probe's ligation site does not always correspond to the exon numbering obtained from the LRG sequences. As changes to the databases can occur after release of this product description, the NM\_ sequence and exon numbering may not be up-to-date.

#### Probemix content

The SALSA MLPA Probemix P492-A1 POLD1 - POLE contains 41 MLPA probes with amplification products between 136 and 454 nucleotides (nt). This includes 25 probes for the *POLD1* gene; one probe for each exon except exons 4 and 7. Furthermore, this probemix contains six probes for the *POLE* gene, of which one probe detects the wildtype sequence at the *POLE* c.1270C>G mutation (p.Leu424Val) (see Table 2; **this probe has not been tested on positive samples!**). In addition, 10 reference probes are included that detect autosomal chromosomal locations. Complete probe sequences and the identity of the genes detected by the reference probes are available online (www.mrcholland.com).

This probemix contains nine quality control fragments generating amplification products between 64 and 105 nt: four DNA Quantity fragments (Q-fragments), two DNA Denaturation fragments (D-fragments), one Benchmark fragment, and one chromosome X and one chromosome Y-specific fragment (see table below). More information on how to interpret observations on these control fragments can be found in the MLPA General Protocol and online at www.mrcholland.com.

Length (nt)	Name		
64-70-76-82	Q-fragments (only visible with <100 ng sample DNA)		
88-96	D-fragments (low signal indicates incomplete denaturation)		
92	Benchmark fragment		
100	X-fragment (X chromosome specific)		
105	Y-fragment (Y chromosome specific)		

#### **MLPA technique**

The principles of the MLPA technique (Schouten et al. 2002) are described in the MLPA General Protocol (www.mrcholland.com).

#### MLPA technique validation

Internal validation of the MLPA technique using 16 DNA samples from healthy individuals is required, in particular when using MLPA for the first time, or when changing the sample handling procedure, DNA extraction method or instruments used. This validation experiment should result in a standard deviation  $\leq 0.10$  for all probes over the experiment.

#### **Required specimens**

Extracted DNA, free from impurities known to affect MLPA reactions. For more information please refer to the section on DNA sample treatment found in the MLPA General Protocol.

#### **Reference samples**

A sufficient number (≥3) of reference samples should be included in each MLPA experiment for data normalisation. All samples tested, including reference DNA samples, should be derived from the same tissue type, handled using the same procedure, and prepared using the same DNA extraction method when possible. Reference samples should be derived from different unrelated individuals who are from families without a history of colorectal cancer, endometrial cancer or brain tumours. More information regarding the selection and use of reference samples can be found in the MLPA General Protocol (www.mrcholland.com).

#### **Positive control DNA samples**

MRC Holland cannot provide positive DNA samples. Inclusion of a positive sample in each experiment is recommended. Coriell Institute (https://catalog.coriell.org) and Leibniz Institute DSMZ (https://www.dsmz.de/) have diverse collections of biological resources which may be used as positive control DNA samples in your MLPA experiments. Sample ID numbers NA01535, NA02819 and NA07891 from the Coriell Institute have been tested with this P492-A1 probemix at MRC Holland and can be used as positive control samples to detect a heterozygous deletion (NA01535 and NA02819) and a heterozygous duplication (NA07891) of *POLE*. The quality of cell lines can change; therefore samples should be validated before use.

#### Data analysis

Coffalyser.Net software should be used for data analysis in combination with the appropriate lot-specific MLPA Coffalyser sheet. For both, the latest version should be used. Coffalyser.Net software is freely downloadable at www.mrcholland.com. Use of other non-proprietary software may lead to inconclusive or false results. For more details on MLPA quality control and data analysis, including normalisation, see the Coffalyser.Net Reference Manual.

#### Interpretation of results

The standard deviation of each individual probe over all the reference samples should be  $\leq 0.10$  and the final ratio (FR) of each individual reference probe in the patient samples should be between 0.80 and 1.20. When these criteria are fulfilled, the following cut-off values for the FR of the probes can be used to interpret MLPA results for autosomal chromosomes or pseudo-autosomal regions:

Copy number status	Final ratio (FR)
Normal	0.80 < FR < 1.20
Homozygous deletion	FR = 0
Heterozygous deletion	0.40 < FR < 0.65
Heterozygous duplication	1.30 < FR < 1.65
Heterozygous triplication/homozygous duplication	1.75 < FR < 2.15
Ambiguous copy number	All other values

Note: The term "dosage quotient", used in older product description versions, has been replaced by "final ratio" to become consistent with the terminology of the Coffalyser.Net software. (Calculations, cut-offs and interpretation remain unchanged.) Please note that the Coffalyser.Net software also shows arbitrary borders as part of the statistical analysis of results obtained in an experiment. As such, arbitrary borders are different from the final ratio cut-off values shown here above.

- <u>Arranging probes</u> according to chromosomal location facilitates interpretation of the results and may reveal more subtle changes such as those observed in mosaic cases. Analysis of parental samples may be necessary for correct interpretation of complex results.
- False positive results: Please note that abnormalities detected by a single probe (or multiple consecutive probes) still have a considerable chance of being a false positive result. Sequence changes (e.g. SNVs, point mutations) in the target sequence detected by a probe can be one cause. Incomplete DNA denaturation (e.g. due to salt contamination) can also lead to a decreased probe signal, in particular for probes located in or near a GC-rich region or in or near the *POLE* gene. The use of an additional purification step or an alternative DNA extraction method may resolve such cases. Additionally, contamination of DNA samples with cDNA or PCR amplicons of individual exons can lead to an increased probe signal (Varga et al. 2012). Analysis of an independently collected secondary DNA sample can exclude these kinds of contamination artefacts.
- <u>Normal copy number variation</u> in healthy individuals is described in the database of genomic variants: <u>http://dgv.tcag.ca/dgv/app/home</u>. Users should always consult the latest update of the database and scientific literature when interpreting their findings.
- <u>Not all abnormalities detected by MLPA are pathogenic</u>. In some genes, intragenic deletions are known that result in very mild or no disease (as described for *DMD* by Schwartz et al. 2007). For many genes, more than one transcript variant exists. Copy number changes of exons that are not present in all transcript

variants may not have clinical significance. Duplications that include the first or last exon of a gene (e.g. exons 1-3) might not result in inactivation of that gene copy.

- <u>Copy number changes detected by reference probes</u> or flanking probes are unlikely to have any relation to the condition tested for.
- <u>False results can be obtained if one or more peaks are off-scale</u>. For example, a duplication of one or more
  exons can be obscured when peaks are off-scale, resulting in a false negative result. The risk on off-scale
  peaks is higher when probemixes are used that contain a relatively low number of probes. Coffalyser.Net
  software warns for off-scale peaks while other software does not. If one or more peaks are off-scale, rerun
  the PCR products using either: a lower injection voltage or a shorter injection time, or a reduced amount of
  sample by diluting PCR products.

#### Limitations of the procedure

- In most populations, the major cause of genetic defects in the *POLD1* and *POLE* genes are small (point) mutations, most of which will not be detected by using SALSA MLPA Probemix P492 POLD1 POLE.
- MLPA cannot detect any changes that lie outside the target sequence of the probes and will not detect copy number neutral inversions or translocations. Even when MLPA did not detect any aberrations, the possibility remains that biological changes in that gene or chromosomal region *do* exist but remain undetected.
- Sequence changes (e.g. SNVs, point mutations) in the target sequence detected by a probe can cause false
  positive results. Mutations/SNVs (even when >20 nt from the probe ligation site) can reduce the probe
  signal by preventing ligation of the probe oligonucleotides or by destabilising the binding of a probe
  oligonucleotide to the sample DNA.

#### **Confirmation of results**

Copy number changes detected by only a single probe always require confirmation by another method. An apparent deletion detected by a single probe can be due to e.g. a mutation/polymorphism that prevents ligation or destabilises the binding of probe oligonucleotides to the DNA sample. Sequence analysis can establish whether mutations or polymorphisms are present in the probe target sequence. The finding of a heterozygous mutation or polymorphism indicates that two different alleles of the sequence are present in the sample DNA and that a false positive MLPA result was obtained.

Copy number changes detected by more than one consecutive probe should be confirmed by another independent technique such as long range PCR, qPCR, array CGH or Southern blotting, whenever possible. Deletions/duplications of more than 50 kb in length can often be confirmed by FISH.

#### POLD1 and POLE mutation databases

https://databases.lovd.nl/shared/genes/POLD1 and https://databases.lovd.nl/shared/genes/POLE. We strongly encourage users to deposit positive results in the Leiden Open Variation Database. Recommendations for the nomenclature to describe deletions/duplications of one or more exons can be found on http://varnomen.hgvs.org/.

Please report copy number changes detected by the reference probes, false positive results due to SNVs and unusual results (e.g., a duplication of *POLD1* exons 9 and 11 but not exon 10) to MRC Holland: info@mrcholland.com.



#### Chromosomal position (hg18)<sup>a</sup> Length (nt) SALSA MLPA probe POLD1 POLE Reference 64-105 Control fragments - see table in probemix content section for more information Reference probe 19365-L25758 136 3p 141 POLD1 probe 22845-L32212 Exon 21 148 POLE probe 22895-L32542 Exon 10 154 POLE probe 22900-L32294 Exon 15 159 POLD1 probe 22846-L32543 Exon 23 POLD1 probe 22901-L32300 Exon 2 166 172 Reference probe 13443-L14898 17p 178 POLD1 probe 22903-L32302 Exon 22 184 POLD1 probe 22904-L32303 Exon 6 Exon 27 189 POLD1 probe 22905-L32665 194 ∞ POLE probe 22899-L32549 Exon 13 202 Reference probe 18560-L24870 p8 208 « POLE probe 22898-L32295 Exon 46 214 POLD1 probe 22847-L32214 Exon 18 220 POLE probe 22896-L32664 Exon 13 226 Exon 24 POLD1 probe 22848-L32215 9q 232 Reference probe 10410-L12202 239 POLE probe 22894-L32292 Exon 2 POLD1 probe 22849-L32547 244 Exon 8 252 POLD1 probe 22850-L32546 Exon 11 258 POLD1 probe 22851-L32545 Exon 26 265 Reference probe 10283-L10795 2q 274 Exon 14 POLD1 probe 22834-L32201 283 POLD1 probe 22835-L32202 Exon 9 292 POLD1 probe 22836-L32203 Exon 15 Exon 20 301 POLD1 probe 22837-L32204 310 Reference probe 16599-L22183 16q 319 POLD1 probe 22838-L32205 Exon 12 328 POLD1 probe 22839-L32544 Exon 5 337 POLD1 probe 22840-L32207 Exon 10 346 POLD1 probe 22841-L32208 Exon 3 355 Reference probe 10684-L11266 6p 364 POLD1 probe 22842-L32209 Exon 25 372 POLD1 probe 22843-L32210 Exon 16 391 Reference probe 19098-L24985 4q 400 POLD1 probe 22908-L32307 Exon 17 409 POLD1 probe 22909-L32308 Exon 19 418 POLD1 probe 23078-L32573 Exon 13 427 Reference probe 21340-L29746 7q 436 POLD1 probe 22844-L32211 Exon 1 454 Reference probe 16539-L19029 15q

### Table 1. SALSA MLPA Probemix P492-A1 POLD1 - POLE

<sup>a</sup> See section Exon numbering on page 2 for more information.

 $\infty$  Wild type sequence detected. This probe is specific for the wildtype sequence at the recurrent c.1270C>G mutation (p.Leu424Val), which has been reported as a cause for a predisposition to colorectal adenomas and carcinomas (PMIDs 23447401, 24509466, 24501277, 25529843, 25124163 and 25370038). A 50% reduced signal for this probe can either be due to this mutation or to a deletion of the sequence detected by this probe. Other variants near the ligation site can also cause a lowered signal. A positive result must be confirmed by another method. This probe has not been tested on positive samples!

« Probe located in or near a GC-rich region. A low signal can be caused by salt contamination in the DNA sample leading to incomplete DNA denaturation, especially of GC-rich regions.

SNVs located in the target sequence of a probe can influence probe hybridization and/or probe ligation. Single probe aberration(s) must be confirmed by another method.

#### Table 2. P492-A1 probes arranged according to chromosomal location

Length	SALSA MLPA	POLD1 exon <sup>a</sup>	Ligation site	Partial sequence <sup>b</sup> (24 nt adjacent	Distance to
(nt)	probe	POLDI exon-	NM_001256849.1	to ligation site)	next probe
		start codon	73-75 (Exon 2)		
436	22844-L32211	Exon 1	53-54	TGTGGCGGGAAA-CGCTGTTTGAAG	14.6 kb
166	22901-L32300	Exon 2	187-186 reverse	CTCCATCAGTGC-CAGGTCCTCCTC	0.4 kb
346	22841-L32208	Exon 3	291-292	GTCCCACCATCA-GCCATAGATCCT	2.6 kb
	No probe	Exon 4			
328	22839-L32544	Exon 5	574-573 reverse	GGCCAAGTTCAG-CTCCCGTTGCAG	0.3 kb
184	22904-L32303	Exon 6	820-821	CCAACGTCGACT-TTGAGATCCGGT	0.3 kb
	No probe	Exon 7			
244	22849-L32547	Exon 8	946-947	CGGACGTGCTGT-GGTCTGACGTGG	0.6 kb
283	22835-L32202	Exon 9	1209-intron	GACCTGCTGCAG-GTAGCTCTCGCT	0.4 kb
337	22840-L32207	Exon 10	1289-1290	CCTTCCGTACCT-CATCTCTCGGGC	2.7 kb
252	22850-L32546	Exon 11	1379-1380	GGACTCTTCATT-CCAGTCCAAGCA	0.2 kb
319	22838-L32205	Exon 12	1524-1525	TTCCTGGGCGAG-CAGAAGGAGGAC	0.7 kb
418	23078-L32573	Exon 13	1750-1751	TATCCCAGCTGT-TGCGGCAGGTCA	0.2 kb
274	22834-L32201	Exon 14	1801-1802	TGAAGTCAGAGG-GCGGCGAGGACT	1.5 kb
292	22836-L32203	Exon 15	1915-1916	TGATGGCCCACA-ACCTGTGTTACA	0.3 kb
372	22843-L32210	Exon 16	1987-1988	ATCAGTTCATCA-GGACCCCCACCG	0.5 kb
400	22908-L32307	Exon 17	2224-2225	TGGAGATCTCAC-AGGTGGGCACTC	3.8 kb
214	22847-L32214	Exon 18	2287-2288	TGGAGTCTAAGT-ACACAGTGGAGA	0.4 kb
409	22909-L32308	Exon 19	2440-2441	TCCCGTCGCCCA-TCCGGCTGGAGT	1.0 kb
301	22837-L32204	Exon 20	2462-2463	TCCCTCCCAGGT-CTACTTCCCATA	0.6 kb
141	22845-L32212	Exon 21	2638-2639	TCTCCTACAGAG-ACCCTGAGGGCG	0.4 kb
178	22903-L32302	Exon 22	2887-2888	CCTACATGAAGT-CGGAGGTCAGGC	0.6 kb
159	22846-L32543	Exon 23	2912-2913	GTTCGTGCTGGA-GCACAGCCTGCC	0.3 kb
226	22848-L32215	Exon 24	3101-3102	CAAACGCCGCAA-CTGCTGCATTGG	0.4 kb
364	22842-L32209	Exon 25	3149-3150	AGGAGCCGTGTG-TGAGTTCTGCCA	0.2 kb
258	22851-L32545	Exon 26	3259-3260	AGCGCTGCCAGG-GCAGCCTGCACG	0.7 kb
189	22905-L32665	Exon 27	3356-3357	CCAGGAGCAGCT-CCTGCGGCGCTT	
		stop codon	3394-3396 (Exon 27)		

#### Table 2a. POLD1 gene

#### Table 2b. POLE gene

Length (nt)	SALSA MLPA probe	POLE exon <sup>a</sup>	Ligation site NM_006231.4	Partial sequence <sup>b</sup> (24 nt adjacent to ligation site)	Distance to next probe
		start codon	28-30 (Exon 1)		
239	22894-L32292	Exon 2	193-194	GGCTGAAGGAGC-CTGGTGAGAAGA	5.0 kb
148	22895-L32542	Exon 10	956-957	CATCACCAACAG-GGAGATTGTTTC	1.8 kb
220	22896-L32664	Exon 13	707 nt before exon 13	TGGTTTGGGCTC-GCACTGTAGATT	0.8 kb
194 ∞	22899-L32549	Exon 13	1297-1298	GCAGTCATAATC-TCAAGGCGGCCG	0.9 kb
154	22900-L32294	Exon 15	1570-1572	ACGCCAACATCA-TCTTCCCCAACA	46.5 kb
208 «	22898-L32295	Exon 46	6371-6372	GCTGTCCCTGGA-CACCAACATCAC	
		stop codon	6886-6888 (Exon 49)		

<sup>a</sup> See section Exon numbering on page 2 for more information.

<sup>b</sup> Only partial probe sequences are shown. Complete probe sequences are available at www.mrcholland.com. Please notify us of any mistakes: info@mrcholland.com.

 $\infty$  Wild type sequence detected. This probe is specific for the wildtype sequence at the recurrent c.1270C>G mutation (p.Leu424Val), which has been reported as a cause for a predisposition to colorectal adenomas and carcinomas (PMIDs 23447401, 24509466, 24501277, 25529843, 25124163 and 25370038). A 50% reduced signal for this probe can either be due to this mutation or to a deletion of the sequence detected by this probe. Other variants near the ligation site can also cause a lowered signal. A positive result must be confirmed by another method. This probe has not been tested on positive samples!

« Probe located in or near a GC-rich region. A low signal can be caused by salt contamination in the DNA sample leading to incomplete DNA denaturation, especially of GC-rich regions.

SNVs located in the target sequence of a probe can influence probe hybridization and/or probe ligation. Single probe aberration(s) must be confirmed by another method.

#### References

- Briggs S et al. (2013). Germline and somatic polymerase ε and δ mutations define a new class of hypermutated colorectal and endometrial cancers. J Pathol. 230(2):148-153.
- Church JM (2014). Polymerase proofreading-associated polyposis: a new, dominantly inherited syndrome of hereditary colorectal cancer predisposition. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 57(3):396-397.
- Elsayed FA et al. (2015). Germline variants in POLE are associated with early onset mismatch repair deficient colorectal cancer. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 23(8):1080-1084.
- Palles C et al. (2013). Germline mutations affecting the proofreading domains of POLE and POLD1 predispose to colorectal adenomas and carcinomas. *Nat Genet.* 45:136-144.
- Schouten JP et al. (2002). Relative quantification of 40 nucleic acid sequences by multiplex ligationdependent probe amplification. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30:e57.
- Schwartz M et al. (2007). Deletion of exon 16 of the dystrophin gene is not associated with disease. *Hum Mutat.* 28:205.
- Spier I et al. (2015). Frequency and phenotypic spectrum of germline mutations in POLE and seven other polymerase genes in 266 patients with colorectal adenomas and carcinomas. *Int J Cancer.* 137(2):320-331.
- Stenzinger A et al. (2014). Mutations in POLE and survival of colorectal cancer patients--link to disease stage and treatment. *Cancer Med.* 3(6):1527-1538.
- Valle L et al. (2014). New insights into POLE and POLD1 germline mutations in familial colorectal cancer and polyposis. *Hum Mol Genet.* 23(13):3506-3512.
- Varga RE et al. (2012). MLPA-based evidence for sequence gain: pitfalls in confirmation and necessity for exclusion of false positives. *Anal Biochem.* 421:799-801.

P492 product history		
Version	Modification	
A1	First release.	

#### Implemented changes in the product description

Version A1-03 - 16 September 2021 (04P)

- Corrected expected results for the samples mentioned in section 'Positive control DNA samples'.

- New references added.

Version A1-02 – 30 August 2021 (04P) - Corrected distances to next probes in table 2b.

Version A1-01 – 27 July 2021 (04P) - Not applicable, new document.



More information: www.mrcholland.com; www.mrcholland.eu		
	MRC Holland bv; Willem Schoutenstraat 1 1057 DL, Amsterdam, The Netherlands	
E-mail	info@mrcholland.com (information & technical questions) order@mrcholland.com (orders)	
Phone	+31 888 657 200	