

Product Description

SALSA® digitalMLPA Probemix D007-A1 Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

To be used with the digitalMLPA General Protocol.

Version A1

First release.

Catalogue numbers

- **D007-25R:** SALSA digitalMLPA Probemix D007 Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia, 25 reactions
- **D007-050R:** SALSA digitalMLPA Probemix D007 Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia, 50 reactions.
- **D007-100R:** SALSA digitalMLPA Probemix D007 Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia, 100 reactions.

To be used in combination with:

1. SALSA® digitalMLPA reagent kit DRK01-IL (100 reactions)
2. SALSA® Barcode Plates for Illumina instruments (768 reactions/plate)
BP01-IL with an expiry date of 2023-11 and later
BP02- IL with an expiry date of 2022-12 and later
3. Coffalyser digitalMLPA software

Certificate of Analysis

Information regarding storage conditions and quality tests from the current sales lot is available at www.mrcholland.com.

Precautions and warnings

For professional use only. Always consult the most recent product description AND the digitalMLPA General Protocol before use: www.mrcholland.com. It is the responsibility of the user to be aware of the latest scientific knowledge of the application before drawing any conclusions from findings generated with this product.

Due to high numbers of copy number alterations (CNAs) in acute lymphoblastic leukemia samples, the control probes included for sample identification will not be able to indicate sample DNA contamination, but can still be used for reliable sample identification (SNP code in Coffalyser digitalMLPA reports).

Two Coffalyser digitalMLPA product sheets are available for data analysis, a default product sheet and a near haploid_low hypodiploid product sheet. Different reference probe selections ensure correct data normalisation in samples with gross chromosomal aberrations. Prior knowledge of the ploidy status based on DNA indexing and/or karyotyping is necessary for proper analysis of (low) hypodiploid, near haploid and hyperdiploid samples using digitalMLPA data obtained with the D007 Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia probemix.

General information

The SALSA digitalMLPA Probemix D007-A1 Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia is a **research use only (RUO)** assay for the detection of deletions, gains or amplifications in 52 specific target genes and three chromosomal regions (5q, iAMP21 and PAR1), which are associated with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) as mentioned in Table 2.

This assay is for use with genomic DNA isolated from peripheral whole blood or bone marrow specimens and is not intended to be used with genomic DNA extracted from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded or fresh-frozen tumour materials. CNAs detected with the D007 Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia Probemix should be confirmed with a different technique or with conventional MLPA probemixes when possible. In particular, CNAs detected by only a single probe always require confirmation by another method. The majority of defects in the genes included in this D007 Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia Probemix are deletions, gains or amplifications, but point mutations can occur which will not be detected by digitalMLPA. It is therefore recommended to use this assay in combination with sequence analysis for the gene(s) of interest.

ALL is the most common childhood cancer and includes multiple genetically heterogeneous subtypes of malignant clonal expansions of immature T- or B-cells. Although current treatment regimens have resulted in 5-year event-free

survival rates of >90% in children, disease relapse is associated with a poor outcome (Roberts and Mullighan 2015). Genetic alterations in the different subtypes of ALL are well characterised and include gross chromosomal aberrations such as hyper-/hypodiploidy, but also fusion genes, gene deletions, gains and amplifications. The digitalMLPA Probemix D007-A1 Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia is designed to detect these key genetic copy number alterations in childhood ALL.

This SALSA digitalMLPA Probemix is not CE/FDA registered for use in diagnostic procedures. Purchase of this product includes a limited license for research purposes.

Probemix content

A total number of ~760 probes is included in this D007-A1 Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia Probemix, consisting of:

- 347 target probes detecting copy number alterations involved in ALL (Table 2).
- 249 karyotyping probes, covering most chromosome arms at the centromeres, middle of the chromosome arms and telomere regions. No probes are present for the short p-arm of the five acrocentric chromosomes (13, 14, 15, 21 and 22). See Table 3 for all chromosomal regions and genes included.
- More than 160 control probes and fragments: these include probes to aid in normalisation in case of copy number changes in tumour samples, probes for sample identification and probes for detection of errors or deviations when performing digitalMLPA assays, impurities in and fragmentation of the DNA samples, ligase and polymerase activity and extent of hybridisation.

More information on the location and warnings of the probes present in this probemix can be found in the Probe Information File (PIF) available at www.mrcholland.com.

Reference probes

The selected reference probes as defined in the *D007-A1 default product sheet* are a subset of karyotyping probes (n=96) in regions that show minimal copy number changes in ALL, as indicated in Table 3. This was determined using information from the Progenetix oncogenomic online resource, Database of Genomic Variants (DGV), Broad Institute TCGA Copy Number Portal, and Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer (COSMIC) Cancer Gene Census. This selection is applicable for most ALL subtypes with (small) CNAs, chromosomal (arm) gains and losses, and for samples with (high) hyperdiploidy.

As the number of chromosomes with a normal diploid copy number retained in samples with low hypodiploidy and near haploidy is limited, a different selection of reference probes is required for optimal data normalisation of these sample types. Based on several publications (Harrison et al. 2004, Nachman et al. 2007, Holmfeldt et al. 2013, Safavi et al. 2017, Carroll et al. 2019), 86 suitable reference probes were selected on the chromosomes retained in both low hypodiploidy and near haploidy (chromosomes 8, 10, 14, 18, 21 and X / Y). In addition, a small number of reference probes was added for additional chromosomes reported to be retained in low hypodiploidy (chromosomes 1, 5, 6, 11 and 22). The number of selected reference probes per chromosome in this product sheet is indicated in Table 4. More information on the use of the *D007-A1 near haploid_low hypodiploid product sheet* can be found in section "Data analysis" below.

Gene structure and transcript variants

Entrez Gene shows transcript variants of each gene: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?db=gene>

For NM_ mRNA reference sequences: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?db=nucleotide>

Matched Annotation from NCBI and EMBL-EBI (MANE): <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/refseq/MANE/>

Tark – Transcript Archive: <http://tark.ensembl.org/>

digitalMLPA technique

The digitalMLPA technique is a variant of the widely used conventional MLPA technique (Schouten et al. 2002, Benard-Slagter et al. 2017). In digitalMLPA, Illumina sequencers, instead of capillary electrophoresis instruments, are used for relative quantification of amplicons. The principles of the digitalMLPA technique are described in the digitalMLPA General Protocol (www.mrcholland.com). More information on the use of MLPA in tumour applications can be found in Hömig-Hölzel and Savola (2012).

digitalMLPA technique validation

Internal validation of the digitalMLPA technique using 16 DNA samples from healthy individuals is required, in particular when using digitalMLPA for the first time, or when pre-analytical steps, DNA extraction method or the instruments used are changed. This validation experiment should result in a standard deviation ≤ 0.10 for all probes with the exception of SNV-specific probes.

Required specimens

Extracted DNA from human peripheral blood and/or bone marrow, free from impurities known to affect digitalMLPA reactions. For more information see the digitalMLPA General Protocol, section DNA sample treatment. The minimum percentage of tumour cells required for reliable analysis is 30% (Al Zaabi et al. 2010, Coll-Mulet et al. 2008). We advise using tumour samples with at least 50% tumour cell content. Therefore, tumour samples should be evaluated by a pathologist before the extraction of DNA.

Reference samples

As X- and Y-chromosome specific probes are included in this probemix, at least three male reference samples AND three female reference samples need to be used per experiment. In instances where an experiment only contains patient samples of one gender, at least three reference samples of the same gender should be used. Pooled DNA from different genders can never be used as reference samples for D007 Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia analysis.

All samples tested, including reference DNA samples, should be derived from the same tissue type, handled using the same procedure, and prepared using the same DNA extraction method when possible. More information regarding the selection and use of reference samples can be found in the digitalMLPA General Protocol.

Positive control DNA samples

MRC Holland cannot provide positive DNA samples. Inclusion of a positive sample in each experiment is recommended. Coriell Institute (<https://catalog.coriell.org>) and Leibniz Institute DSMZ (<https://www.dsmz.de/home.html>) have a diverse collection of biological resources which may be used as a positive control DNA sample in your digitalMLPA experiments. The quality of cell lines can change, therefore positive samples should be either acquired from quality assessed biological sample repositories or validated before use. Table 1 contains a list of positive control samples that have been tested with the D007-A1 probemix at MRC Holland.

Table 1. Positive samples from biobanks tested by MRC Holland

Coriell sample ID	Genomic aberration
NA00501	Heterozygous gain of 4q25-q35.2, including <i>LEF1</i> and <i>NR3C2</i>
NA00526	Trisomy 13, including <i>RB1</i>
NA00782	Heterozygous gain of 4q13.1-q31.21, including <i>LEF1</i> Heterozygous gain of <i>CRLF2</i> on Xp22.33
NA00981	Heterozygous gain of 20p chromosomal arm
NA01221	Heterozygous gain of 6q13-q22.33, including <i>CASP8AP2</i>
NA01359	Trisomy 18, including <i>PTPN2</i>
NA02030	Trisomy 8, including <i>TOX</i>
NA02587	Heterozygous deletion of 17q11.2, including <i>NF1</i> and <i>SUZ12</i> Heterozygous gain of the <i>PAR1</i> region
NA02718	Heterozygous deletion of <i>RB1</i> and <i>RB1</i> flanking probes on 13q14.2 Heterozygous deletion of Xp21.1-p22.11, including <i>DMD</i>
NA02819	Heterozygous gain of 9p21.3-p24.3, including <i>JAK2</i> , <i>MLLT3</i> , <i>MTAP</i> , <i>CDKN2A</i> and <i>CDKN2B</i>

Coriell sample ID	Genomic aberration
NA03184	Trisomy 15, including <i>SPRED1</i>

NA03226	Heterozygous gain of 9p, including <i>JAK2</i> , <i>MLLT3</i> , <i>MTAP</i> , <i>CDKN2A</i> , <i>CDKN2B</i> and <i>PAX5</i>
NA03384	Heterozygous gain of 11p15.4-q25, including <i>LMO1</i> , <i>LMO2</i> , <i>CD44</i> , <i>SLC1A2</i> and <i>RAG2</i> Four copies of chromosome X, and mosaic loss of chromosome Y
NA03563	Heterozygous gain of 3q13.2-q29, including <i>CD200</i> , <i>BTLA</i> , <i>TBL1XR1</i> and <i>LINC00501</i> Heterozygous deletion of 9p24.3
NA04371	Heterozygous gain of 5q33.3-q35.3, including <i>EBF1</i> Heterozygous gain of <i>CSF2RA</i> on Xp22.33
NG04823	Trisomy 21, including the iAMP21 region and <i>RUNX1</i> and <i>ERG</i>
NA06226	Heterozygous gain of 16p13.3-p13.12, including <i>CREBBP</i>
NA06803	Heterozygous deletion of <i>LMO2</i> , <i>CD44</i> , <i>SLC1A2</i> and <i>RAG2</i> on 11p12-p13
NA07081	Heterozygous gain of 7p, including <i>IKZF1</i>
NA07106	Trisomy 22, including <i>VPREB1</i>
NA07412	Heterozygous deletion of 7q34-q36.3, including <i>EPHA1</i> and <i>EZH2</i>
NA07994	Heterozygous gain of 6q23.3-q27, including <i>MYB</i> Heterozygous deletion of 10q26.3
NA08035	Heterozygous gain of 12p arm, including <i>ETV6</i>
NA08146	Heterozygous deletion of <i>VPREB1</i> on 22q11.22 Heterozygous gain of <i>CRLF2</i> and <i>CSF2RA</i> on Xp22.33 Heterozygous deletion of 17p11.2
NA08778	Heterozygous deletion of 3q11.2-q13.2, including <i>CD200</i> and <i>BTLA</i>
NA10401	Trisomy 2, including <i>IKZF2</i>
NA10925	Heterozygous deletion of 7p12.2-p12.3, including <i>IKZF1</i>
NA10946	Heterozygous deletion of <i>CASP8AP2</i> on 6q15
NA10989	Heterozygous deletion of 9p24.1-p24.3, including <i>JAK2</i> Heterozygous deletion of <i>IGHM</i> on 14q32.33
NA12722	Trisomy 9, with a heterozygous deletion of <i>PAX5</i> and flanking probe <i>ZCCHC7</i> on one of the chromosomes Trisomy 18, including <i>PTPN2</i>
NA13685	Heterozygous gain of 9q34.12-q34.3, including <i>ABL1</i> , <i>NUP214</i> and <i>NOTCH1</i> Heterozygous deletion of <i>IGHM</i> on 14q32.33
NA14523	Heterozygous deletion of Xp11.22-p22.33, including the PAR1 region and <i>DMD</i>
NA20027	Heterozygous deletion of chromosome X Heterozygous deletion of <i>IGHM</i> on 14q32.33
NA20125	Heterozygous gain of 10q23.31-q26.1, including <i>PTEN</i> and <i>ADD3</i> Heterozygous deletion of <i>IGHM</i> on 14q32.33
NA50322	Heterozygous deletion of <i>PTPN2</i> on 18p11.21 Heterozygous deletion of <i>IGHM</i> on 14q32.33

Data analysis

Coffalyser digitalMLPA software must be used for data analysis in combination with the appropriate lot-specific Coffalyser digitalMLPA product sheet. Coffalyser digitalMLPA software is freely downloadable at www.mrcholland.com¹. Use of other non-proprietary software may lead to inconclusive or false results. Normalisation of results should be performed within one experiment. The digitalMLPA General Protocol contains technical guidelines and information on data evaluation/normalisation.

Coffalyser digitalMLPA product sheet for near haploid or low hypodiploid samples

As long as more than 50% of the sequences targeted by the reference probes have a normal copy number (CN=2) in the test sample, the correct baseline will be detected and data will be correctly normalised using the Coffalyser digitalMLPA *default product sheet*. The reference probe selection in the *D007-A1 default product sheet* is also suitable for use on high hyperdiploid samples, as >50% of the reference probes are expected to have a normal diploid copy number. However, for ALL samples that would be classified as near haploid or low hypodiploid samples by conventional karyotyping or DNA indexing, loss of too many chromosomes would result in wrong baseline detection

¹ In case a reference sample quality warning or error is reported due to high standard deviation for X and Y probes, more information can be found on [our website](#).

using this reference probe selection. A separate Coffalyser digitalMLPA product sheet is available that should be used for correct baseline detection and ratio determination in these low hypodiploid or near haploid ALL samples. The reference probe selection in this *D007-A1 near haploid_low hypodiploid product sheet* is based on chromosomes frequently retained in these near haploid or low hypodiploid sample types (see Table 4).

Please note that prior knowledge of the ploidy status based on DNA indexing and/or karyotyping is necessary for proper analysis of (low) hypodiploid, near haploid and hyperdiploid samples using digitalMLPA data obtained with the D007 Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia probemix.

Use of the wrong product sheet for analysis with Coffalyser digitalMLPA will result in incorrect baseline detection and therefore incorrect normalization for all probes in the probemix. For example, a near haploid sample wrongfully analysed with the *D007-A1 default product sheet* could be interpreted as a sample with gains of a few chromosomes and or genes/regions.

Interpretation of results

The expected results for (pseudo)autosomal probes are allele copy numbers of 2 (normal), 1 (heterozygous deletion), 0 (homozygous deletion), 3 (heterozygous gain), 4 (heterozygous triplication / homozygous gain) or ≥ 5 (amplification). The same results can be expected for the X-chromosome-specific probes in female samples. For the (non-PAR) X-chromosome-specific probes in male samples, expected copy numbers are 1 (normal), 0 (deletion) or ≥ 2 (gain).

The standard deviation of all probes in the reference samples should be ≤ 0.10 . When this criterion is fulfilled, the following cut-off values for the inter ratio of the probes can be used to interpret digitalMLPA results when **reference samples of the same sex** have been used:

Copy number status indication		Inter ratio
Autosomal sequences and X-chromosome sequences in females	X-chromosome sequences in males	
Normal	Normal	$0.80 < \text{ratio} < 1.20$
Homozygous deletion	Deletion	ratio = 0
Heterozygous deletion		$0.40 < \text{ratio} < 0.65$
Heterozygous gain		$1.30 < \text{ratio} < 1.65$
Heterozygous triplication/ Homozygous gain	Gain	$1.75 < \text{ratio} < 2.15$
Ambiguous*		All other values

Please note that these above mentioned inter ratios are affected both by the percentage of tumour cells and by possible subclonality. In case of a heterozygous deletion that is subclonal and/or the sample contains a lower percentage of tumour cells, the inter ratio might not be in the expected range of 0.40-0.65. For example, in case of 50% tumour cell content or a copy number alteration present in 50% of the tumour cells, the inter ratio of a gene or region with a heterozygous deletion will be around 0.75. However, the same (ambiguous) inter ratio (0.75) will also be found for a sample harbouring a homozygous deletion with a tumour cell percentage of 25% or a subclone comprising 25% of all tumour cells. The digitalMLPA technique cannot discriminate between these two scenarios.

* Ambiguous ratios might indicate a (subclonal) homozygous deletion when inter ratios are < 0.30 or an amplification when inter ratios are > 2.15 .

General notes on digitalMLPA interpretation:

- Arranging probes according to chromosomal location facilitates interpretation of the results and may reveal more subtle changes such as those observed in subclonal cases.
- False-positive results: Please note that abnormalities detected by a single probe (or multiple consecutive probes) still have a considerable chance of being a false-positive result. Sequence changes (e.g. SNVs, point mutations) in the target sequence detected by a probe can result in false-positive results. Incomplete DNA denaturation (e.g. due to salt contamination) can also lead to a decreased probe read count, in particular for probes located in or near a GC-rich region. The use of an alternative DNA extraction method or sample clean up (e.g. with ethanol precipitation or silica column based-kits) may resolve such cases. Control probes are present in all digitalMLPA probemixes that provide a warning for incomplete DNA denaturation.
- False-positive results (gains): Contamination of DNA samples with cDNA or PCR amplicons of individual exons can lead to an increased probe read count (Varga et al. 2012). Analysis of an independently collected secondary DNA sample can exclude these kinds of contamination artefacts.
- Normal copy number variation in healthy individuals is described in the database of genomic variants: <http://dgv.tcag.ca/dgv/app/home>. Users should always consult the latest update of the database and scientific literature when interpreting their findings.
- Not all abnormalities detected by MLPA are pathogenic. For many genes, more than one transcript variant exists. Copy number changes of exons that are not present in all transcript variants may not have clinical significance. In some genes, intragenic deletions are known that result in very mild, or no disease (Schwartz et al. 2007). Gains that include the first or last exon of a gene (e.g. exons 1-3) might in some cases not result in the inactivation of that gene copy.
- Copy number changes detected by reference probes are unlikely to have any relation to the condition tested for.

D007-A1 probemix specific notes

- In samples from tumour tissues, reference probes are more prone to have deviating copy number results as compared to blood-derived germline samples, which could result in warnings in Coffalyser digitalMLPA output about reference probe quality.
- The SNP-specific control probes included in the D007-A1 probemix can be used for sample identification. However, they will not indicate contamination of sample DNA.

Limitations of the procedure

- digitalMLPA cannot distinguish between hyperdiploid and low hypodiploid or near haploid samples. DNA indexing or karyotyping should be done to determine the ploidy of the sample, upon which the correct Coffalyser product sheet can be selected for data analysis.
- The majority of the defects in the genes included in this D007 Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia Probemix are deletions, gains or amplifications, but point mutations can occur which will not be detected by this probemix.
- digitalMLPA cannot detect any changes that lie outside the target sequence of the probes and will not detect most copy number neutral inversions or translocations. Even when digitalMLPA did not detect any aberrations, the possibility remains that biological changes in that gene or chromosomal region *do* exist but remain undetected.
- Sequence changes (e.g. SNVs, point mutations, small indels) in the target sequence detected by a probe can cause false-positive results. Mutations/SNVs (even when >20 nt from the probe ligation site) can reduce the probe read count by preventing ligation of the probe oligonucleotides or by destabilising the binding of a probe oligonucleotide to the sample DNA.
- digitalMLPA analysis on tumour samples provides information on the average situation in the cells from which the DNA sample was purified. Gains or losses of genomic regions or genes may not be detected if the percentage of tumour cells is low. In addition, the subclonality of the aberration affects the inter ratio of the corresponding probe. Furthermore, there is always a possibility that one or more reference probes *do* show a copy number alteration in a patient sample especially in samples with more chaotic karyotypes.

Confirmation of results

Copy number changes detected with the D007 Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia probemix must be verified by another method. Conventional MLPA probemixes are available for many genes / chromosomal regions present in the D007-A1 Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia probemix. Most of these conventional MLPA probemixes contain probes with a different ligation site that can be used for confirmation of results (see section 'Related conventional SALSA MLPA probemixes' in this product description). Alternatively, copy number changes can be confirmed by another independent technique such as long-range PCR, qPCR, array CGH, FISH, WES/WGS/NGS-based methods or Southern blotting.

Copy number changes detected by only a single probe always require confirmation by another method. An apparent deletion detected by a single probe can be due to e.g. a mutation/SNV/other variation that prevents ligation or destabilises the binding of probe oligonucleotides to the DNA sample. Sequence analysis can establish whether mutations or SNVs are present in the probe-target sequence. The finding of a heterozygous mutation or SNVs indicates that two different alleles of the sequence are present in the sample DNA and that a false-positive MLPA result was obtained.

COSMIC mutation database

<http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic>. We strongly encourage users to deposit positive results in the COSMIC mutation database. Recommendations for the nomenclature to describe deletions/gains of one or more exons can be found on <http://varnomen.hgvs.org/>.

Please report false positive results due to SNVs and unusual results to MRC Holland: info@mrcholland.com.

Table 2. digitalMLPA Probemix D007-A1 Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

Chromosomal position (hg38)	Gene	NM sequence ^(a)	# probes / # exons in gene	Gene or region length (kb)	Literature
1p33	<i>TAL1</i>	NM_003189.5	4/5	15 kb	STIL-TAL1 fusion ^Y in 10-30% T-ALL Cavé et al. 2004
1p33	<i>STIL</i>	NM_001048166.1	4/17	64 kb	
2q34	<i>IKZF2</i>	NM_001387220.1	3/9	151 kb	Deletions of IKZF2 in 50% of low-diploid ALL Holmfeldt et al. 2013
3p14.2	<i>FHIT</i>	NM_002012.4	3/10	1.5 Mb	Deletions of FHIT in 4% childhood and 7% adult ALL Okamoto et al. 2010
3q13.2	<i>CD200</i>	NM_005944.7	3/6	30 kb	CD200/BTLA deletions in 5% B-ALL Ghazavi et al. 2015
3q13.2	<i>BTLA</i>	NM_181780.4	3/5	33 kb	
3q26.32	<i>TBL1XR1</i>	NM_024665.7	4/16	304 kb	Deletions in 15% of ETV6-RUNX1 positive ALL; associated with glucocorticoid therapy resistance Parker et al. 2008, Jones et al. 2014 <i>Included as flanking probe for TBL1XR1</i>
	<i>LINC00501</i>	NR_047465.1	2/2		
4q25	<i>LEF1</i>	NM_016269.5	5/12	119 kb	LEF1 deletions in 11% of T-ALL Gutierrez et al. 2010
4q31.23	<i>NR3C2</i>	NM_000901.5	3/9	364 kb	Deletions of NR3C1 and NR3C2 are associated with relapsed ALL Safavi et al. 2014, Kuster et al. 2011
5q extent of deletion - 5q31.2	<i>EGR1, CTNNA1</i>	-	1 probe for each gene	42 Mb	Recurrent 5q (terminal) deletions T-ALL (5q31.2-q35.3) La Starza et al. 2016
5q31.3	<i>NR3C1</i>	NM_000176.3	5/9	169 kb	Deletions of NR3C1 and NR3C2 are associated with relapsed ALL Safavi et al. 2014, Kuster et al. 2011
5q32	<i>PDGFRB</i>	NM_002609.4	4/23	42 kb	EBF1-PDGFRB fusion ^Y in B-cell precursor ALL, sensitive to tyrosine kinase inhibitors Lengline et al. 2013, Weston et al. 2013
5q extent of deletion - 5q33.1	<i>RPS14, SPARC</i>	-	1 probe for each gene	42 Mb	Recurrent 5q (terminal) deletions T-ALL (5q31.2-q35.3) La Starza et al. 2016
5q33.3	<i>EBF1</i>	NM_024007.5	5/16	404 kb	EBF1 deletions in 25% of relapsed ALL Yang et al. 2008
5q extent of deletion - 5q35.3	<i>SQSTM1, FLT4</i>	-	1 probe for each gene	42 Mb	Recurrent 5q (terminal) deletions T-ALL (5q31.2-q35.3) La Starza et al. 2016
6q15	<i>CASP8AP2</i>	NM_012115.4	4/10	42 kb	CASP8AP2 deletions in 12% of T-ALL Remke et al. 2009
6q23.3	<i>MYB</i>	NM_001130173.2	3/16	38 kb	Gain in 8-15% of T-ALL Clappier et al. 2007, O'Neil et al. 2007
7p12.2	<i>IKZF1</i>	NM_006060.6	16/8	100 kb	Associated with BCR-ABL1 fusion, poor prognostic factor Boer et al. 2016, Mullighan et al. 2008a (note: different exon numbering) Deletions of IKZF1 exon 1 and 5' untranscribed regulatory regions result in haploinsufficiency Stanulla et al. 2018
	<i>IKZF1</i> regulatory region	NM_006060.6	7 probes upstream of exon 1	30 kb	
		NM_001291845.2 / NM_001291846.2	2 probes in alternative exon 4	-	
7q34-q35	<i>EPHA1</i>	NM_005232.5	3/18	18 kb	EPHA1 is thought to be an important factor in T-lymphocyte development Charmsaz et al. 2013
7q36.1	<i>EZH2</i>	NM_004456.5	3/20	77 kb	Deletions of EZH2 in T-ALL Ntziachristos et al. 2012, Zhang et al. 2012
8q12.1	<i>TOX</i>	NM_014729.3	3/9	314 kb	Recurrent deletions of TOX in T-ALL Mullighan et al. 2009a, Yu et al. 2015
9p24.1	<i>JAK2</i>	NM_004972.4	4/25	145 kb	Deletions of JAK2 reported in up to 7% of B-ALL cases Bhandari et al. 2017, Salmoiraghi et al. 2013

Chromosomal position (hg38)	Gene	NM sequence ^(a)	# probes / # exons in gene	Gene or region length (kb)	Literature
9p21.3	<i>MLLT3</i>	NM_004529.4	4/11	281 kb	Deletions of <i>MLLT3</i> in 26% of T-ALL Mullighan et al. 2007
9p21.3	<i>MTAP</i>	NM_002451.4	3/8	64 kb	Deletion of <i>MTAP</i> in 11% of B-ALL Mirebeau et al. 2006, Bertin et al. 2003
9p21.3	<i>CDKN2A</i>	NM_000077.5 (p16INK4a) NM_058195.4 (P14ARF)	12/3	27 kb	<i>CDKN2A</i> and <i>CDKN2B</i> deletions in 35-50% of ALL Sulong et al. 2009
		NM_001363763.2	1 probe in alternative exon 1	1 kb	
9p21.3	<i>CDKN2B</i>	NM_004936.4	3/2	6.4 kb	
9p13.2	<i>PAX5</i>	NM_016734.3	10/10	208 kb	Large deletions of <i>PAX5</i>, may include <i>CDKN2A/2B</i>. Intragenic <i>PAX5</i> amplifications also reported. Schwab et al. 2013, Schwab et al. 2017
	<i>PAX5</i> flanking		1 probe upstream of <i>PAX5</i> 1 probe in <i>ZCCHC7</i>	94 kb	To determine the extent of the <i>PAX5</i> deletion. Mullighan et al. 2007
9q34.12	<i>ABL1</i>	NM_005157.6	3/11	52 kb	<i>NUP214-ABL1</i> fusion gene [‡] in 6% ALL Craux et al. 2009
9q34.13	<i>NUP214</i>	NM_005085.4	3/36	109 kb	
9q34.3	<i>NOTCH1</i>	NM_017617.5	7/34	52 kb	Activating intragenic deletions in <i>NOTCH1</i> Haydu et al. 2012
10q23.31	<i>PTEN</i>	NM_000314.8	20/9	108 kb	Deletions of <i>PTEN</i> in 9% of ALL cases; resistance to chemotherapy and early treatment failure Zuurbier et al. 2012, Mendes et al. 2014
10q25.2	<i>ADD3</i>	NM_016824.5	3/15	128 kb	Recurrent deletion of <i>ADD3</i> in B-ALL Mullighan et al. 2009a, Olsson et al. 2014
11p15.4	<i>LMO1</i>	NM_002315.3	3/4	40 kb	Oncogenic transcription factor, <i>LMO1</i> overexpressed in T-ALL Oram et al. 2013
11p13	<i>LMO2</i>	NM_005574.4	4/6	11 kb	<i>RAG2-LMO2</i> fusion [‡] in 4% of pediatric T-ALL Van Vlierberghe et al. 2006
11p13	<i>CD44</i>	NM_000610.4	1/18	93 kb	
11p13	<i>SLC1A2</i>	NM_004171.4	1/11	168 kb	
11p12	<i>RAG2</i>	NM_000536.4	2/2	6 kb	
12p13.2	<i>ETV6</i>	NM_001987.5	8/8	246 kb	Rearrangements and fusions (e.g. in <i>ETV6-RUNX1</i> fusion); microdeletions at breakpoint Ko et al. 2011
12q21.33	<i>BTG1</i>	NM_001731.3	5/2	6 kb	<i>BTG1</i> deletions extend to downstream area; associated with Down syndrome (DS-)ALL Waanders et al. 2012
13q14.2	<i>RB1</i>	NM_000321.3	9/27	178 kb	Deletions of <i>RB1</i> in ALL, especially associated with <i>iAMP21</i> Schwab et al. 2013
	<i>RB1</i> flanking		5' region: 1 probe, <i>ITM2B</i> 3' region: 4 probes, <i>RCBTB2</i> , <i>CDADC1</i> , <i>DLEU2</i> , <i>DLEU1</i>	43 kb 1.8 Mb	
14q32.33	<i>IGHM</i>	NG_001019.6	2/6	4.5 kb	Non-functional rearrangements/deletions in <i>BCR-ABL</i>-positive B-ALL Trageser et al. 2009
15q14	<i>SPRED1</i>	NM_152594.3	3/7	104 kb	Recurrent (focal) deletions of <i>SPRED1</i> in relapse cases Olsson et al. 2014, Mullighan et al. 2008b

Chromosomal position (hg38)	Gene	NM sequence ^(a)	# probes / # exons in gene	Gene or region length (kb)	Literature
16p13.3	<i>CREBBP</i>	NM_004380.3	3/31	156 kb	Deletions of <i>CREBBP</i> in 1-2% of relapsed ALL cases Mullighan et al. 2011, Vicente et al. 2015
16q22.1	<i>CTCF</i>	NM_006565.4	3/12	77 kb	Deletions of <i>CTCF</i> in 2-3% of T-ALL Vicente et al. 2015
17p13.1	<i>TP53</i>	NM_000546.6	14/11	19 kb	Single allele deletions of <i>TP53</i> or combined with mutated other allele in 2-14% of ALL cases Agirre et al. 2003
17q11.2	<i>NF1</i>	NM_001042492.3	3/58	283 kb	Deletions of <i>NF1</i> in 11% of T-ALL; poor response to induction therapy Balgobind et al. 2008
17q11.2	<i>SUZ12</i>	NM_015355.4	3/16	64 kb	Deletions of <i>SUZ12</i> in T-ALL Zhang et al. 2012, Ntziachristos et al. 2012
17q12	<i>IKZF3</i>	NM_012481.5	3/8	107 kb	Deletions of <i>IKZF3</i> especially in hypodiploid ALL Mullighan et al. 2007, Holmfeldt et al. 2013
18p11.21	<i>PTPN2</i>	NM_002828.4	4/9	91 kb	Deletions of <i>PTPN2</i> in 6% of T-ALL Kleppe et al. 2010
21q11.2	<i>HSPA13</i>				iAMP21, a region of amplification on chromosome 21, which at least contains <i>RUNX1</i> Robinson et al. 2003, Moorman et al. 2007, Harrison et al. 2014, Koleilat et al. 2022
21q11.2	<i>SAMSN1</i>				
21q21.1	<i>MIR99A</i>				
21q21.1	<i>BTG3</i>				
21q21.1	<i>TMPRSS15</i>				
21q21.1	<i>NCAM2</i>				
21q21.2	<i>MIR155</i>				
21q21.3	<i>APP</i>				
21q21.3	<i>CYYR1</i>				
21q21.3	<i>ADAMTSS</i>				
21q21.3	<i>BACH1</i>				
21q22.11	<i>TIAM1</i>				
21q22.11	<i>OLIG2</i>				
21q22.11	<i>KCNE2</i>				
21q22.12	<i>RUNX1</i> ±	NM_001754.5	6/9	1.1 Mb	
21q22.13	<i>SIM2</i>				1 probe for each gene 1.2 Mb
21q22.13	<i>HLCS</i>				
21q22.13	<i>DYRK1A</i>				
21q22.13	<i>KCNJ6</i>				
21q22.2	<i>ERG</i> ≠	NM_182918.4	12/10	118 kb	Short intragenic deletions of <i>ERG</i> in BCP-ALL Clappier et al. 2014
21q22.2	<i>ETS2</i>				1 probe for each gene 7.9 Mb iAMP21, a region of amplification on chromosome 21, which at least contains <i>RUNX1</i> Robinson et al. 2003, Moorman et al. 2007, Harrison et al. 2014, Koleilat et al. 2022
21q22.2	<i>PSMG1</i>				
21q22.3	<i>TMPRSS2</i>				
21q22.3	<i>RIPK4</i>				
21q22.3	<i>TFF1</i>				
21q22.3	<i>ITGB2</i>				
21q22.3	<i>SLC19A1</i>				
21q22.3	<i>COL6A2</i>				
21q22.3	<i>PRMT2</i>				
22q21.22	<i>VPREB1</i>	NM_007128.4	2/2	730 bp	Focal deletions of <i>VPREB1</i> in ~30% of B-ALL cases Mangum et al. 2014
22q21.23	<i>IGLL1</i>		1/3	7.2 kb	

Chromosomal position (hg38)	Gene	NM sequence ^(a)	# probes / # exons in gene	Gene or region length (kb)	Literature
Xp22.33	<i>SHOX</i>	NM_000451.4	3/5	2.2 Mb	Frequent rearrangements in PAR1 region Mullighan et al. 2009b, Russell et al. 2009
Xp22.33	<i>CRLF2</i>	NM_022148.4	6/8		
Xp22.33	<i>CSF2RA</i>	NM_172245.4	15/13		
Xp22.33	<i>IL3RA</i>	NM_002183.4	8/12		
Xp22.33	<i>P2RY8</i>	NM_178129.5	3/2		
Xp22.33	<i>AKAP17A</i>		1 probe for each gene		
Xp22.33	<i>ASMT</i>				
Xp22.33	<i>ZBED1</i>				
Xp22.33	<i>CD99</i>		1 probe for GYG2		
Xp22.33	Flanking probes for PAR1 region		1 probe for SRY		
Yp11.2					
Xp21.1	<i>DMD</i>	NM_004006.3	8/79	2.1 Mb	Recurrent deletion of DMD, present in relapse cases Kawamata et al. 2008, Mullighan et al. 2008b
Xq26.2	<i>PHF6</i>	NM_001015877.2	4/11	55 kb	Deletions of PHF6 in 3% of T-ALL Van Vlierberghe et al. 2010

(a) **NM sequence and MANE:** The exon numbering and NM_ sequence used have been retrieved from the MANE project release version 1.0 on 10/2022. As changes to the MANE database can occur after release of this product description, exon numbering may not be up-to-date. Exon numbering used here may differ from literature. When a MANE transcript is not available, then the exon numbering is based on the NM or NG sequence.

Thicker lined boxes in the table indicate the three regions included in digitalMLPA probemix D007: 5q region, iAMP21 region and PAR1 region.

* digitalMLPA Probemix D007-A1 Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia can provide an *indication* for the presence of fusion genes. These should always be confirmed with other methods.

± This gene is highlighted within this region as historically three or more extra copies of RUNX1 on a single abnormal chromosome 21 (a total of five or more RUNX1 signals per cell) was used to define iAMP21 (Harrison et al. 2014). It is now known that changes in this region might be more complex (Koleilat et al. 2022).

≠ This gene is highlighted within this region as it is important within the iAMP21 region, but also has relevance in combination with other CNAs outside the context of the iAMP21 region.

More information on the location and warnings of the probes present in this probemix can be found in the [Probe Information File \(PIF\)](#) available at www.mrcholland.com.

Table 3. Karyotyping probes

Chromosomal position (hg38)	Gene
1p36.33	<i>TMEM240</i>
1p36.33	<i>CFAP74</i>
1p32.3	<i>ACOT11</i> §
1p32.2	<i>PLPP3</i> §
1p13.1	<i>SLC22A15</i> §
1p12	<i>SPAG17</i> §
1q21.3	<i>RPRD2</i> §
1q21.3	<i>NUP210L</i> §
1q31.3	<i>KCNT2</i> §
1q31.3	<i>DENND1B</i>
1q44	<i>ADSS</i> §
1q44	<i>DESI2</i>
2p25.3	<i>TMEM18</i>
2p25.3	<i>COLEC11</i> §
2p22.3	<i>SPAST</i> §
2p22.2	<i>VIT</i>
2q11.2	<i>FER1L5</i>
2q11.2	<i>CNNM3</i>
2q11.2	<i>NPAS2</i> §
2q24.3	<i>SCN1A</i>
2q31.1	<i>ABCB11</i> §
2q37.3	<i>CAPN10</i>
2q37.3	<i>KIF1A</i>
3p26.2	<i>TRNT1</i> §
3p26.1	<i>SUMF1</i> §
3p24.2	<i>NR1D2</i> §
3p24.1	<i>NEK10</i> §
3p21.3	<i>CNTN3</i> §
3p11.1	<i>HTR1F</i> §
3q11.2	<i>EPHA6</i> §
3q12.1	<i>CPOX</i> §
3q23	<i>XRN1</i> §
3q24	<i>SLC9A9</i> §
3q29	<i>OPA1</i> §
3q29	<i>ACAP2</i>
4p16.3	<i>LETM1</i>
4p16.3	<i>ADD1</i>
4p15.31	<i>KCNIP4</i>
4p15.2	<i>DHX15</i>
4p12	<i>COMMD8</i>
4p12	<i>NFXL1</i>
4q13.1	<i>TECRL</i>
4q13.2	<i>UGT2A1</i>
4q31.21	<i>INPP4B</i>
4q31.22	<i>ZNF827</i>
4q35.2	<i>CYP4V2</i>
4q35.2	<i>TRIML1</i>

Chromosomal position (hg38)	Gene
5p15.33	<i>IRX4</i>
5p15.31	<i>NSUN2</i> §
5p15.2	<i>DNAH5</i>
5p13.3	<i>DROSHA</i>
5p13.3	<i>NPR3</i> §
5q11.2	<i>ITGA2</i> §
5q11.2	<i>DHX29</i> §
5q11.2	<i>IL31RA</i> §
5q11.2	<i>MIER3</i>
5q23.2	<i>MEGF10</i> §
5q31.2	<i>MYOT</i> §
5q35.3	<i>COL23A1</i> §
5q35.3	<i>MAPK9</i> §
6p25.2	<i>SERPINB6</i>
6p25.2	<i>ECI2</i>
6p22.1	<i>ZFP57</i>
6p21.33	<i>ATAT1</i>
6p12.1	<i>RAB23</i>
6p11.2	<i>PRIM2</i>
6q13	<i>COL19A1</i>
6q13	<i>RIMS1</i>
6q22.31	<i>TBC1D32</i>
6q22.33	<i>LAMA2</i>
6q27	<i>SMOC2</i>
6q27	<i>ERMARD</i>
7p22.2	<i>SDK1</i> §
7p22.1	<i>RADIL</i>
7p15.3	<i>RAPGEF5</i> §
7p15.3	<i>STK31</i> §
7p12.3	<i>ADCY1</i> §
7p12.3	<i>ABCA13</i> §
7p11.2	<i>LANCL2</i>
7p11.2	<i>SUMF2</i>
7q11.21	<i>KCTD7</i> §
7q11.22	<i>GALNT17</i> §
7q31.1	<i>PNPLA8</i> §
7q31.1	<i>IFRD1</i> §
7q36.3	<i>RBM33</i> §
7q36.3	<i>DYNC2I1</i>
8p23.3	<i>FBXO25</i>
8p23.3	<i>CLN8</i>
8p21.3	<i>GFRA2</i>
8p21.2	<i>CDCA2</i>
8p12	<i>RBPM5</i>
8p12	<i>GSR</i>

Chromosomal position (hg38)	Gene
8q11.21	<i>SNTG1</i>
8q12.2	<i>CHD7</i>
8q21.3	<i>RMDN1</i>
8q21.3	<i>CPNE3</i>
8q24.3	<i>TSNARE1</i>
8q24.3	<i>SLC39A4</i>
9p24.3	<i>DOCK8</i>
9p24.3	<i>KANK1</i>
9p24.1	<i>GLDC</i>
9p22.3	<i>FREM1</i>
9p22.2	<i>BNC2</i> §
9p13.2	<i>FBXO10</i> §
9p13.2	<i>DCAF10</i> §
9q21.11	<i>PGM5</i>
9q21.12	<i>TRPM3</i> §
9q22.33	<i>ANKS6</i> §
9q31.1	<i>ALDOB</i> §
9q34.3	<i>GRIN1</i>
9q34.3	<i>EHMT1</i> §
10p15.3	<i>DIP2C</i>
10p15.2	<i>PFKP</i>
10p13	<i>NMT2</i>
10p13	<i>ITGA8</i>
10p11.21	<i>CUL2</i>
10p11.21	<i>ZNF25</i>
10q11.21	<i>MARCH8</i>
10q11.22	<i>ARHGAP22</i>
10q22.2	<i>KAT6B</i>
10q22.3	<i>LRMDA</i>
10q26.3	<i>INPP5A</i>
10q26.3	<i>KNDC1</i>
11p15.5	<i>RIC8A</i>
11p15.5	<i>DEAF1</i>
11p14.3	<i>ANO5</i> §
11p14.2	<i>SLC5A12</i> §
11p11.2	<i>LRP4</i> §
11p11.2	<i>NUP160</i> §
11q12.1	<i>SERPING1</i> §
11q12.3	<i>BEST1</i> §
11q14.3	<i>FAT3</i> §
11q22	<i>MTMR2</i> §
11q25	<i>NTM</i>
11q25	<i>NCAPD3</i> §
12p13.33	<i>WNK1</i> §
12p13.33	<i>CACNA2D4</i>
12p12.3	<i>PTPRO</i> §
12p12.3	<i>AEBP2</i> §
12p11.22	<i>FAR2</i> §
12p11.22	<i>TMTC1</i> §

Chromosomal position (hg38)	Gene
12q12	<i>KIF21A</i> §
12q12	<i>NELL2</i> §
12q23.1	<i>NEDD1</i> §
12q23.1	<i>SLC17A8</i> §
12q24.33	<i>GALNT9</i>
12q24.33	<i>PGAM5</i>
13q12.3	<i>KATNAL1</i> §
13q14.3	<i>VPS36</i> §
13q21.33	<i>KLHL1</i>
13q34	<i>ARHGEF7</i> §
13q34	<i>GRK1</i> §
14q11.2	<i>TEP1</i>
14q11.2	<i>CHD8</i>
14q22.1	<i>DDHD1</i>
14q22.2	<i>SAMD4A</i>
14q32.31	<i>DYNC1H1</i>
14q32.33	<i>COA8</i>
15q12	<i>ATP10A</i> §
15q13.1	<i>OCA2</i> §
15q22.2	<i>VPS13C</i> §
15q22.31	<i>USP3</i>
15q26.3	<i>CHSY1</i> §
15q26.3	<i>TM2D3</i>
16p13.3	<i>DECR2</i>
16p13.3	<i>IFT140</i> §
16p13.13	<i>TXNDC11</i> §
16p13.12	<i>CPPED1</i> §
16p11.2	<i>HIRIP3</i>
16p11.2	<i>ITGAL</i> §
16q11.2	<i>GPT2</i> §
16q12.1	<i>LONP2</i> §
16q22.1	<i>SLC12A4</i>
16q22.1	<i>DUS2</i> §
16q24.3	<i>ANKRD11</i> §
16q24.3	<i>GAS8</i>
17p13.3	<i>VPS53</i>
17p13.3	<i>NXN</i>
17p13.1	<i>PIK3R6</i>
17p13.1	<i>USP43</i>
17p11.2	<i>RAI1</i>
17p11.2	<i>ULK2</i>
17q11.2	<i>MYO18A</i>
17q11.2	<i>PSMD11</i>
17q22	<i>ANKFN1</i>
17q23.2	<i>MED13</i>
17q25.3	<i>CCDC57</i>
17q25.3	<i>CSNK1D</i>

Chromosomal position (hg38)	Gene
18p11.32	<i>CLUL1</i>
18p11.31	<i>LPIN2</i>
18p11.31	<i>MYOM1</i>
18p11.21	<i>GNAL</i>
18p11.21	<i>SPIRE1</i>
18p11.21	<i>LDLRAD4</i>
18p11.21	<i>FAM210A</i>
18q11.2	<i>RBBP8</i>
18q11.2	<i>NPC1</i>
18q21.1	<i>LOXHD1</i>
18q21.1	<i>LIPG</i>
18q23	<i>CTDP1</i>
18q23	<i>TXNL4A</i>
19p13.3	<i>PLPP2</i>
19p13.3	<i>CDC34</i>
19p13.2	<i>GCDH</i>
19p13.2	<i>STX10</i>
19p13.11	<i>JAK3</i>
19p13.11	<i>GMIP</i>
19q12	<i>POP4</i>
19q13.11	<i>SLC7A9</i>
19q13.31	<i>KCNN4</i>
19q13.32	<i>FKRP</i>
19q13.42	<i>DNAAF3</i> §
19q13.43	<i>SLC27A5</i>
20p13	<i>RSPO4</i>
20p13	<i>TGM6</i> §
20p12.3	<i>TRMT6</i> §
20p12.2	<i>PLCB4</i>
20p11.23	<i>RIN2</i>
20p11.21	<i>APMAP</i> §

Chromosomal position (hg38)	Gene
20q11.22	<i>ACSS2</i> §
20q11.22	<i>EDEM2</i> §
20q13.12	<i>SLC13A3</i> §
20q13.13	<i>STAU1</i>
20q13.33	<i>OSBPL2</i>
20q13.33	<i>UCKL1</i>
21q11.2	<i>RBM11</i>
21q22.11	<i>ITSN1</i>
21q22.3	<i>PDE9A</i>
21q22.3	<i>TRAPPC10</i>
21q22.3	<i>TSPEAR</i>
22q11.1	<i>GAB4</i> §
22q11.21	<i>CECR2</i> §
22q12.2	<i>ZMAT5</i> §
22q12.2	<i>SFI1</i> §
22q13.31	<i>TRMU</i> §
22q13.33	<i>BRD1</i> §
Xp22.31	<i>ANOS1</i>
Xp22.11	<i>ACOT9</i>
Xp11.22	<i>FGD1</i>
Xq11.1	<i>ARHGEF9</i>
Xq13.3	<i>ZDHHC15</i>
Xq22.1	<i>NXF3</i>
Xq28	<i>CLIC2</i>
Xq28	<i>TMLHE</i>
Yp11.2	<i>PCDH11Y</i>
Yp11.2	<i>TBL1Y</i>
Yq11.221	<i>USP9Y</i>
Yq11.223	<i>KDM5D</i>
Yq11.223	<i>RPS4Y2</i>

§ Used as reference probe for normalisation purposes in data analysis using the Coffalyser digitalMLPA D007-A1 default product sheet.

More information on the location and warnings of the probes present in this probemix can be found in the [Probe Information File \(PIF\)](#) available at www.mrcholland.com.

Table 4. Reference probe selection for low hypodiploidy and near haploidy

Reference probes for low hypodiploidy and near haploidy are selected from the total set of karyotyping probes (Table 3). Selection is based on chromosomes retained in these ALL subtypes (see section "Reference probes" on page 2). This information is also present in the Probe Information File (PIF).

Chromosome	Number of reference probes	Gene names
<i>Retained in near haploidy and low hypodiploidy</i>		
Chromosome 8	7	CLN8, RBPMS, GSR, SNTG1, CHD7, RMDN1, CPNE3
Chromosome 10	9	DIP2C, NMT2, CUL2, ZNF25, MARCHF8, ARHGAP22, KAT6B, LRMDA, INPP5A
Chromosome 14	6	TEP1, CHD8, DDHD1, SAMD4A, DYNC1H1, COA8
Chromosome 18	10	LPIN2, GNAL, SPIRE1, LDLRAD4, FAM210A, RBBP8, NPC1, LOXHD1, LIPG, CTDP1
Chromosome 21	4	RBM11, ITSN1, PDE9A, TRAPPC10
Chromosomes X and Y	30	PAR1 region including SHOX, CRLF2, CSF2RA, IL3RA, P2RY8, AKAP17A, ZBED1, CD99
<i>Additionally retained in low hypodiploidy</i>		
Chromosome 1	4	PLPP3, SLC22A15, NUP210L, ADSS2
Chromosome 5	4	NSUN2, NPR3, IL31RA, COL23A1
Chromosome 6	4	ECI2, PRIM2, LAMA2, ERMARD
Chromosome 11	4	ANO5, BEST1, MTMR2, NCAPD3
Chromosome 22	4	GAB4, ZMAT5, SFI1, BRD1

Table 5. Related conventional SALSA MLPA probemixes

Related probemix	Coverage ±	Can be used for confirmation ^
P018 SHOX	Contains probes for PAR1 region genes SHOX, CRLF2, CSF2RA, IL3RA, ASMT and ZBED1.	Yes *
P034-P035 DMD	Contain probes for each exon of DMD.	Yes *
P047 RB1	Contains probes for each exon of RB1, except exon 15.	Yes
P056 TP53	Contains probes for each exon of TP53.	No
P081/P082 NF1	Contain probes for each exon of NF1.	Yes
P105 Glioma-2	Contains one probe for each exon of CDKN2A. and PTEN. Also contains 9 probes for TP53.	No
P175 Tumour Gain	Contains probes for exons 1 and 12 of ABL1.	Yes
P202 IKZF1-ERG	Contains probes for each exon of IKZF1 and ERG.	Yes
P225 PTEN	Contains at least two probes for each exon of PTEN.	No
P295 SPRED1	Contains at least two probes for each exon of SPRED1.	Yes *
P313 CREBBP	Contains probes for each exon of CREBBP.	Yes *
P323 CDK4-HMGA-MDM2	Contains probes on chromosomal arms 12p and 12q.	Yes
P327 iAMP21-ERG	Contains probes for the iAMP21 region, including probes for RUNX1 and for each exon of ERG.	Yes
P329 CRLF2-CSF2RA-IL3RA	Contains probes for. Also contains probes for SHOX, CRLF2, CSF2RA, IL3RA, and P2RY8.	Yes
P335 ALL-IKZF1	Contains probes for EBF1, IKZF1, PAX5, ETV6, BTG1, RB1 and the PAR1 region.	Yes
P370 BRAF-IDH1-IDH2	Contains several probes for MYB.	Yes
P377 Hematologic Malignancies	Contains several probes for IKZF1, RUNX1, RB1 and flanking probes DLEU1 and DLEU2.	Yes
P383 T-ALL	Contains probes for TAL1, STIL, LEF1, CASP8AP2, MYB, EZH2, MLLT3, ABL1, NUP214, LMO1/2, RAG2/CD44/SLC1A2, NF1, SUZ12, PTPN2 and PHF6.	Yes
P414 MDS	Contains seven probes for 5q31.2-q33.3 (including additional probes for EGR1 and SPARC).	Yes
P419 CDKN2A/2B-CDK4	Contains multiple probes for each exon of CDKN2A and CDKN2B.	Yes

Related probemix	Coverage ±	Can be used for confirmation ^
P437 Familial MDS-AML	Contains one probe for each exon of <i>RUNX1</i> .	Yes
P474 CD274-PDCD1LG2-JAK2	Contains several probes for <i>JAK2</i> .	Yes
ME024 9p21 CDKN2A/2B region	Contains probes for each exon of <i>CDKN2A</i> , <i>CDKN2B</i> and <i>MTAP</i> .	Yes

± Only genes or chromosomal regions included in the D007-A1 digitalMLPA probemix are mentioned in this table. MLPA probemixes additionally contain probes for genes not mentioned in this table.

^ Probemixes can be used for confirmation when ligation sites are different between D007-A1 probes and the probes in the corresponding conventional MLPA probemixes. Of note, this statement concerns the majority of the probes in a MLPA probemix and does not mean that all probes have a different ligation site. For more information, please contact info@mrcholland.com.

* The reference probes included in this conventional MLPA probemix have not been optimised for tumour samples. If the tumour samples of interest harbour multiple copy number alterations on the genomic locations of the reference probes, the normalisation can be compromised and reliable result interpretation will not be possible with this probemix.

References

- Agirre X et al. (2003). TP53 is frequently altered by methylation, mutation and/or deletion in acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Mol Carcinog*. 38:201-8.
- Al Zaabi EA et al. (2010). Multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification versus multiprobe fluorescence in situ hybridization to detect genomic aberrations in chronic lymphocytic leukemia: A tertiary center experience. *J Mol Diagn*. 12:197-203.
- Balgobind BV et al. (2008). Leukemia-associated NF1 inactivation in patients with pediatric T-ALL and AML lacking evidence for neurofibromatosis. *Blood*. 111:4322-8.
- Benard-Slagter A et al. (2017). Digital multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification for detection of key copy number alterations in T- and B-cell lymphoblastic leukemia. *J Mol Diagn*. 19: 659-72.
- Bertin R et al. (2003). CDKN2A, CDKN2B, and MTAP gene dosage permits precise characterization of mono- and bi-allelic 9p21 deletions in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Genes Chrom Cancer*. 37:44-57.
- Bhandari P et al. (2017). Molecular profiling of gene copy number abnormalities in key regulatory genes in high-risk B-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia: frequency and their association with clinicopathological findings in Indian patients. *Med Oncol*. 34:92.
- Boer JM et al. (2016). Prognostic value of rare IKZF1 deletion in childhood B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia: an international collaborative study. *Leukemia*. 30:32-8.
- Carroll AJ et al. (2019). Masked hypodiploidy: Hypodiploid acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) mimicking hyperdiploid ALL in children: A report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Cancer Genet*. 238:62-8.
- Cavé H et al. (2004). Clinical significance of HOX11L2 expression linked to t(5;14)(q35;q32), of HOX11 expression, and of SIL-TAL fusion in childhood T-cell malignancies: results of EORTC studies 58881 and 58951. *Blood*. 103:442-50.
- Charmsaz S et al. (2013). Expression and function of the Eph receptor family in leukemia and hematopoietic malignancies: Prospects for targeted therapies. *J Leukemia*. 1:1-9.
- Clappier E et al. (2007). The C-MYB locus is involved in chromosomal translocation and genomic duplications in human T-cell acute leukemia (T-ALL), the translocation defining a new T-ALL subtype in very young children. *Blood*. 110:1251-61.
- Clappier E et al. (2014). An intragenic ERG deletion is a marker of an oncogenic subtype of B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia with a favorable outcome despite frequent IKZF1 deletions. *Leukemia*. 28:70-7.
- Coll-Mulet L et al. (2008). Multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification for detection of genomic alterations in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. *Br J Haematol*. 142:793-801.
- Craux C et al. (2009). Heterogeneous patterns of amplification of the NUP214-ABL1 fusion gene in T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia*. 23:125-33.
- Ghazavi F et al. (2015). CD200/BTLA deletions in pediatric precursor B-cell acute leukemia treated according to the EORTC-CLG 58951 protocol. *Haematologica*. 100:1311-9.
- Gutierrez A et al. (2010). Inactivation of LEF1 in T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood*. 115:2845-51.
- Harrison CJ et al. (2004). Three distinct subgroups of hypodiploidy in acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Br J Haematol*. 125:552-9.

- Harrison CJ et al (2014). An international study of intrachromosomal amplification of chromosome 21 (iAMP21): cytogenetic characterization and outcome. *Leukemia*. 28:1015–21.
- Haydu JE et al. (2012). An activating intragenic deletion in NOTCH1 in human T-ALL. *Blood*. 119:5211-4.
- Holmfeldt L. et al. (2013). The genomic landscape of hypodiploid acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Nat Genet*. 45:242-52.
- Hömig-Hölzel C and Savola S (2012). Multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) in tumor diagnostics and prognostics. *Diagn Mol Pathol*. 21:189-206.
- Jones CL et al. (2014). Loss of TBL1XR1 disrupts glucocorticoid receptor recruitment to chromatin and results in glucocorticoid resistance in a B-lymphoblastic leukemia model. *J Biol Chem*. 289:20502-15.
- Kawamata N et al. (2008). Molecular allelotyping of pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemias by high-resolution single nucleotide polymorphism oligonucleotide genomic microarray. *Blood*. 111:776-84.
- Kleppe M et al. (2010). Deletion of the protein tyrosine phosphatase gene PTPN2 in T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Nat Gen*. 42:530-35.
- Ko DH et al. (2011). Native ETV6 deletions accompanied by ETV6-RUNX1 rearrangements are associated with a favourable prognosis in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: a candidate for prognostic marker. *Br J Haematol*. 155:530-3.
- Koleilat A et al. (2022). Characterization of unusual iAMP21 B-lymphoblastic leukemia (iAMP21-ALL) from the Mayo Clinic and Children’s Oncology Group. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*, 61:710-19.
- Kuster L et al. (2011). ETV6/RUNX1-positive relapses evolve from an ancestral clone and frequently acquire deletions of genes implicated in glucocorticoid signaling. *Blood*. 117:2658-67.
- La Starza R et al. (2016). Deletions of the long arm of chromosome 5 define subgroups of T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Haematologica*. 101:951-8.
- Lengline E et al. (2013). Successful tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy in a refractory B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia with EBF1-PDGFRB fusion. *Haematologica*. 98:e146-8.
- Mangum DS et al. (2014). VPRED1 deletions occur independent of lambda light chain rearrangement in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia*. 28:216-20.
- Mendes RD et al. (2014). PTEN microdeletions in T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia are caused by illegitimate RAG-mediated recombination events. *Blood*. 124:567-78.
- Mirebeau D et al. (2006). The prognostic significance of CDKN2A, CDKN2B and MTAP inactivation in B-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia of childhood. Results of the EORTC studies 58881 and 58951. *Haematologica*. 91:881-5.
- Moorman AV et al. (2007). Prognostic effect of chromosomal abnormalities in childhood B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: results from the UK Medical Research Council ALL97/99 randomised trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 11:429-38.
- Mullighan CG et al. (2007). Genome-wide analysis of genetic alterations in acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Nature*. 446:758-64.
- Mullighan CG et al. (2008a). BCR-ABL1 lymphoblastic leukaemia is characterized by the deletion of Ikaros. *Nature*. 453:110-4.
- Mullighan CG et al. (2008b). Genomic analysis of the clonal origins of relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Sci*. 322:1377-80.
- Mullighan CG et al. (2009a). Global genomic characterization of acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Semin Hematol*. 46:3-15.
- Mullighan CG et al. (2009b). Rearrangement of CRLF2 in B-progenitor- and Down syndrome-associated acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Nat Genet*. 41:1243-6.
- Mullighan CG et al. (2011). CREBBP mutations in relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Nature*. 471:235-9.
- Nachman JB et al. (2007). Outcome of treatment in children with hypodiploid acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood*. 110:1112-5.
- Ntziachristos P et al. (2012). Genetic inactivation of the polycomb repressive complex 2 in T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Nat Med*. 18: 298-301.
- O’Neil J et al. (2007). Alu elements mediate MYB gene tandem duplication in human T-ALL. *J Exp Med*. 204:3059-66.
- Okamoto R et al. (2010). Genomic profiling of adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia by single nucleotide polymorphism oligonucleotide microarray and comparison to pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Haematologica*. 95:1481-8.

- Olsson L et al. (2014). Deletions of IKZF1 and SPRED1 are associated with poor prognosis in a population-based series of pediatric B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia diagnosed between 1992 and 2011. *Leukemia*. 28:302-10.
- Oram SH et al. (2013). Bivalent promoter marks and a latent enhancer may prime the leukaemia oncogene LMO1 for ectopic expression in T-cell leukaemia. *Leukemia*. 27:1348-57.
- Parker H et al. (2008). The complex genomic profile of ETV6-RUNX1 positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia highlights a recurrent deletion of TBL1XR1. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*. 47:1118-25.
- Remke M et al. (2009). High-resolution genomic profiling of childhood T-ALL reveals frequent copy-number alterations affecting the TGF-beta and PI3K-AKT pathways and deletions at 6q15-16.1 as a genomic marker for unfavorable early treatment response. *Blood*. 114:1053-62.
- Roberts KG and Mullighan CG (2015). Genomics in acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: insights and treatment implications. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol*. 12:344-57.
- Robinson HM et al. (2003). Amplification of AML1 in acute lymphoblastic leukemia is associated with a poor outcome. *Leukemia*. 17:2249-50.
- Russell LJ et al. (2009). Deregulated expression of cytokine receptor gene, CRLF2, is involved in lymphoid transformation in B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Blood*. 114:2688-98.
- Safavi S et al. (2014). Novel gene targets detected by genomic profiling in a consecutive series of 126 adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Haematologica*. 100:55-61.
- Safavi S and Paulsson K. (2017). Near-haploid and low-hypodiploid acute lymphoblastic leukemia: two distinct subtypes with consistently poor prognosis. *Blood*. 129:420-3.
- Salmoiraghi S et al. (2013). Mutations and chromosomal rearrangements of JAK2: Not only a myeloid issue. *Expert Rev Hematol*. 6:429-39.
- Schouten JP et al. (2002). Relative quantification of 40 nucleic acid sequences by multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 30:e57.
- Schwab CJ et al. (2013). Genes commonly deleted in childhood B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia: association with cytogenetics and clinical features. *Haematologica*. 98:1081-8.
- Schwab CJ et al. (2017). Intragenic amplification of PAX5: A novel subgroup in B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia? *Blood Adv*. 1:1473-7.
- Schwartz M et al. (2007). Deletion of exon 16 of the dystrophin gene is not associated with disease. *Hum Mutat*. 28:205.
- Stanulla M et al. (2018). IKZF1(plus) defines a new minimal residual disease-dependent very-poor prognostic profile in pediatric B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *J Clin Oncol*. 36:1240-9.
- Sulong S et al. (2009). A comprehensive analysis of the CDKN2A gene in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia reveals genomic deletion, copy number neutral loss of heterozygosity, and association with specific cytogenetic subgroups. *Blood*. 113:100-7.
- Trageser D et al. (2009). Pre-B cell receptor-mediated cell cycle arrest in Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia requires IKAROS function. *J Exp Med*. 206:1739-53.
- Van Vlierberghe P et al. (2006). The cryptic chromosomal deletion del(11)(p12p13) as a new activation mechanism of LMO2 in pediatric T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood*. 108:3520-9.
- Van Vlierberghe P et al. (2010). PHF6 mutations in T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Nat Gen*. 42:338-42.
- Varga RE et al. (2012). MLPA-based evidence for sequence gain: pitfalls in confirmation and necessity for exclusion of false positives. *Anal Biochem*. 421:799-801.
- Vicente C et al. (2015). Targeted sequencing identifies associations between IL7R-JAK mutations and epigenetic modulators in T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Haematologica*. 100:1301-10.
- Waanders E et al. (2012). The origin and nature of tightly clustered BTG1 deletions in precursor B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia support a model of multiclonal evolution. *PLoS Genet*. 8:e1002533.
- Weston BW et al. (2013). Tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy induces remission in a patient with refractory EBF1-PDGFRB-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *J Clin Oncol*. 31:e413-6.
- Yang JJ et al. (2008). Genome-wide copy number profiling reveals molecular evolution from diagnosis to relapse in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood*. 112:4178-83.
- Yu X et al. (2015). TOX gene: a novel target for human cancer gene therapy. *Am J Cancer Res*. 5:3516-24.
- Zhang J et al. (2012). The genetic basis of early T-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Nature*. 481:157-63.


- Zuurbier L et al. (2012). The significance of PTEN and AKT aberrations in pediatric T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Haematologica*. 97:1405-13.

Selected publications using digitalMLPA Probemix D007 Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

- Antić Z et al. (2022). Clonal dynamics in pediatric B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia with very early relapse. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 69:e29361.
- Benard-Slagter A et al. (2017). Digital multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification for detection of key copy number alterations in T- and B-cell lymphoblastic leukemia. *J Mol Diagn*. 19:659-72.
- Kiss R et al. (2020). Comprehensive profiling of disease-relevant copy number aberrations for advanced clinical diagnostics of pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Mod Pathol*. 33:812-24.
- Maciel ALT et al. (2022). IKZF1 deletions associate with CRLF2 overexpression leading to a poor prognosis in B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Transl Oncol*. 15:101291.
- Thakral D et al. (2019). Rapid identification of key copy number alterations in B- and T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia by digital multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification. *Front Oncol*. 9:871.

D007 product history	
Version	Modification
A1	First release.

Implemented changes in the product description
Version A1-01 – 3 November 2022 (03) - Not applicable, new document.

More information: www.mrcholland.com ; www.mrcholland.eu	
	MRC Holland bv; Willem Schoutenstraat 1 1057 DL, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
E-mail	info@mrcholland.com (information & technical questions) order@mrcholland.com (orders)
Phone	+31 888 657 200